

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

BROOKLYN RAE WIEHL,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B194937
(Super. Ct. No. 2006018752)
(Ventura County)

Brooklyn Rae Wiehl appeals from the judgment following her guilty plea to driving or taking an automobile. (Veh. Code, § 10851, subd. (a).)

Appellant entered a 7-Eleven store where she became angry with the store clerk and began yelling at him. She knocked over several displays of merchandise and left the store. The clerk watched appellant drive away in a white pickup truck that had earlier been reported stolen.

Appellant was charged with driving or taking an automobile (Veh. Code, § 10851, subd. (a) – count 1) and vandalism (Pen. Code § 594, subd. (b)(2)(A) – count 2). It was alleged that she had a prior conviction for a drug possession offense and had served a prior prison term. (Pen. Code, § 667.5, subd. (b).) Appellant pleaded guilty to count 1 and admitted the prior prison term allegation.

The court struck the vandalism count and prior prison term enhancement. It denied appellant's request for probation and sentenced her to state prison for the middle term of two years. She was awarded 171 days of presentence credits.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After reviewing the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and requesting this court to independently examine the record pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.

On March 28, 2007, we advised appellant that she had 30 days in which to submit a written brief or letter stating any contentions or arguments she wished us to consider. We have received no response from her.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that appellate counsel has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

COFFEE, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

PERREN, J.

Bruce A. Clark, Judge
Superior Court County of Ventura

Richard B. Lennon, under appointment by the Court of Appeal for
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.